

Racist Cosmetologists Strain To put Lip Stick on a Pig

Approaching the race-scape of America from the pinnacle of New Truth, let's take a panoramic view of the political scene in the United States and examine racist politicians "new" skin games to get white votes. The record shows that they have galloped into the electoral marketplace flying an anti Affirmative Action battle flag. But, why?

The answer lies in the political history of racist politicians and my Exhibit A is David Duke. During his political campaign in Louisiana, fully attired in his new political code word outerwear, he dragged out his proverbial scarecrow of race, but not race, but not "race mixing at the Altar," nor "mongrelization of the white race." Instead, he launched his campaign under the label of opposition to "Affirmative Action, dead-beat blacks on welfare and bleeding heart Democratic liberals." These are political code words honed to perfection by Ronald Reagan.

Using these political code words Duke told white working men and women who had been laid off due to a nationwide job slump that their jobs had been given to blacks under the rules of Affirmative action and that blacks were sitting at home drawing hefty welfare checks from big government handout programs, passed by liberals

Most of all, according to his propaganda, this special treatment of blacks was unfair to whites, who according to Dukes campaign were not on welfare.

This is the propaganda that was spread 24-7 to the white people all across the state of Louisiana. Now, let's analyze the propaganda. If white folk were fired and their jobs given to blacks in line with affirmative action, how come the percentage jobless blacks are double the percentage of unemployed whites? What is the name of the company or corporation that is supposed to have hired all those blacks?

Are they black owned with a couple of "handkerchief head" white folks on the Board of Directors as a George Bush version of Equal Accommodation (Uncle Powell and Aunt Rice).

Did any of those "fired" white folk file suit charging "reverse discrimination"? If not, why did they? Are their official documents from employers certifying that they did fire white workers and replace them with blacks because of affirmative action?

Let's keep in mind what Duke is saying: White folks jobs had been given to blacks because of affirmative action. Then, in order to put meat on the skinny bones of his charge of "dead-beat blacks on welfare," Duke tells white, jobless men and women that blacks (who have taken white folk jobs) are sitting at home, drawing hefty welfare checks from big government programs pushed by liberals. This propaganda of Duke is front loaded with questions that should be answered.

Did David Duke ever appear before big meetings of his white constituents with a list of the names and addresses of the white workers who had been fired; and a list of the names and addresses of the black workers who had been hired, to take the jobs of the "fired" White workers? Did Duke contact employers and or unions to get to the bottom of these problem?

These are just some of the questions; it seems to me, that the white workers should have forced Duke to respond to particularly since their jobs were at stake.

But, this is not all because herein lies an oxymoronic paradox. If we are to believe Duke, here are all of these prosperous blacks holding down all of the good jobs of the "fired" white folk. While at the same time those white folk are religiously sending welfare checks to those blacks that were gainfully employed on their (white folk) jobs.

Now, can you believe that all of those blacks were "Double-Dipping" and getting away with it? Were those blacks really that smart or could it be that those "racially superior" white folk were asleep at the switch?

Clearly, Duke was trying to ride to political victory on the back of affirmative action and black welfare. But for Duke affirmative action and welfare was a two-headed horse and the jockey (Duke) was having a problem trying to go in opposite directions at the same time.

The purpose of this ground level examination of the propaganda above is intended to cause white voters to think through, and analyze the politicians' propaganda just as I have done here. Suspiciously, canalize their motives rather than respond with a knee-jerk compliance with their propaganda.

To round-out, and tie-up any loose ends on this point, let's back up and take another look at clear point in Duke's propaganda There were no white folk on welfare.

Following are the dehumanizing poverty stricken conditions of white people in Louisiana that Duke never mentions in his scheme to get white votes. In 1990, according to U S Bureau of Labor Statistics there were 35,000 white men and women unemployed and walking the streets looking for work. Since the early 1980s Louisiana has been trembling on the precipice of a depression forcing blacks and whites onto welfare programs.

The U S Department of Labor does not keep records of the thousands of jobless who are referred to as "disgusted" after months of walking the streets looking for work, they finally say "to hell with it" and stop reporting.

According to the University of Wisconsin, Madison Institute of Research and Poverty, Volume 18, Number 3, Spring 1991, Louisiana in 1988 had 312,305 white men women and children living in the squalor of hopeless poverty.

More than 72,891 of the white households (official households of 2.6 persons) which equates to nearly 150,000 white men; women and children get food stamps to survive according to the Food and Nutrition Service of the U S Department of Agriculture, 1990.

How can white voters believe a politician who says that thousands of white men and women were fired and their jobs given to blacks with no paper trail? There are documents to support that serious charge. If Jesse Jackson was the C E O of a Corporation he would not take Affirmative Action to that extreme.

In Detroit the black population is 85% with a black Mayor, and a City Council with two white folk on it. Now, don't hold your breath until General Motors kicks out the white folk and fill those jobs with "Colored Brothers."

David Duke told white voters that white owned corporations, in Louisiana (one of the nation's most racist states) did exactly what Jesse Jackson nor General Motors in Detroit would Never Do. And, they (white voters) believed him. But more importantly, they voted for him. Remember we asked earlier when politicians dropped their slogan, "Do you want a nigger to marry your daughter" why would they select a lame, nearly victimless issue of Affirmative Action as a battleground that easy? It has an element of "race" and it has been a color coded hook to catch white voters.

The true history of this country shows that racial lie about blacks has existed throughout the past and continues in our day. It is the umbilical cord and stepping stone to secure high paying political office for America's most rabid racist politicians.

Reagan was the master of sophisticated slyness when it came to using race in getting white votes. I know of no place on record where he used the term "nigger" in campaigning.

But in his first run for president he (Reagan) kicked off his election campaign in Philadelphia, Mississippi, where three civil right workers were shot to death, two whites and one black. This cold blooded act on the part of Reagan is the moral equivalent of a husband pissing on the fresh grave of his Mother-in-law in full view of his wife and children.

Reagan's political target was the "angry white male "southern blue-collar worker caught in that massive jobs slump in the 1970's when, nationally the manufacturing industry took a precipitous nose dive.

Blaming high welfare cost and government handout program sponsored by liberal. All of this gave rise to skyrocketing taxes that hard working white folk were forced to pay. This was the propaganda machine that President Nixon used to agitate unemployed white unemployed white men and women and thereby lured them away from the Democratic Party and into the Republican Party, and into the Republican Party this movement was known as Nixon's "Southern Strategy." So Reagan's target was already "A little bit pregnant" and the political sperm was provided by Nixon.

In 1980 Ronald Reagan obtained a snapshot of a black female with a long history of welfare fraud. Reagan dubbed her the "Welfare Queen." During that nationally televised campaign he made anti-welfare the centerpiece of his campaign and portrayed his "Welfare Queen" as the poster girl for "Blacks." Ronald Reagan won that election for President of the United States. The scam worked!

For the ensuing eight (8) years (two terms) in office Reagan, by his shrewd political propaganda, joined-at-the-hip, in the perception of white voters, "Welfare and Blacks" working and in cahoots with Big Labor Bosses "to push for" "Big Government" entitlement programs which would result in skyrocketing taxes paid for out of the hard earned paycheck of working white men and women in the United States.

The San Francisco Chronicle, June 10, 1994, published an article entitled "Ronald Reagan 1911-2004, amid tribute activists lament Reagan's failure on homelessness.

Before Reagan, according to the article, "people sleeping on the street were so rare that outside of skid row they were almost a curiosity. After eight years of Reaganomics and the slashes in low income housing and social welfare programs that went along with it, they were seemingly everywhere. America had a new household term, "The homeless."

The article quotes Michael Stoops, co-founder in 1981 of the National Coalition for the Homeless in Washington, D C, who said in reference to Reagan, "He was a Robin Hood in reverse who took from the poor and gave it to the rich, and I think that Americans have such short attention spans they forget this."

Continuing, the article states "... His (Reagan) critics say the single most powerful thing Reagan did to create homelessness was to cut the budget for the Department of Housing and Urban Development by three quarters from 32.2 billion dollars in 1981 to 7.5 billion dollars in 1988. The department was the main governmental supporter of subsidized housing for the poor. "During the same period the average family income of the poorest fifth of American population dropped by 6.1 percent and rose by 11.1 percent for the top fifth. According to "Sleep Walking Through history by Haynes Johnson," the number of people living beneath the federal poverty line rose from 24.6 million in 1978 to 32.5 in 1988."

The number of homeless people went from something so little it was not even written about widely in the 1970s to more than two million when Reagan left office." And here is the specific economic condition of white people during the period of Reagan's political romance with the Welfare Queen.

Poverty in the USA 1983

<i>Blacks</i>	<i>9,882,000</i>
<i>Whites</i>	<i>23,984,000</i>

Children in Poverty

Blacks	4,273,000
Whites	8,534,000

As you can clearly see the face of the poor and poverty is not Black it is White. To our knowledge no organization or group nor the media, seriously and publicly challenges the politician and disputes their propaganda. We know of no group who sought to provide white voters with official statistical information that would have exposed the political lies (such as we are doing here).

These statistical facts shown here would have been a significant backdrop against which white voters could compare and contrast, the political propaganda. And through this process White voters could reach a balance political decision.

But unfortunately none of the above happened and the Reagan propaganda machine was the only game in town. Political code words as we know them today did not exist prior to the 1980's and the advent of the Reagan years. In George Wallace's day there was no such thing as political code words or the concept of "Political Correctness."

In Birmingham, Alabama in 1958 George Wallace entered the race for governor of Alabama on what is known as a populist platform against big business and for farmers and workers. His campaign was not rabidly anti-Black.

However, his opponent John Patterson conducted a vicious, all out anti-Black campaign in the course of which Patterson dubbed George Wallace as a "Weak kneed nigger lover." Wallace chose to ignore the attack. After the election and the votes were counted George Wallace was literally trounced. He went down to ignominious defeat.

Standing before the nation in the depths of his defeat, Wallace recognized his campaign error and made a public resolve in 1958. "They will never ever out-nigger me again." And to be sure that the nation understood that he was not just "whistling Dixie," he stood in the schoolhouse door to physically block Black children from entering White schools and declared in 1963, "Segregation today, segregation tomorrow and segregation forever."

Over the ensuing years, the process of getting the votes of White people without making any commitment to deal with their (White people's) problems have been cleaned up and sanitized, and an expanding lexicon of new words with "race-neutral" faces has been coined or reinterpreted. But that basic political oath of George C. Wallace is carried out, to the letter, by conservative, right wing politicians who uses race to get White votes by whatever means necessary.

For example, George Bush in his 1988 presidential campaign portrayed Willie Horton (a Black parolee convicted of rape) as having been turned loose on White communities by the "liberal" Democratic presidential candidate Michael Dukakis.

He appointed Lee Atwater, Chairman of the Republican National Committee, as his chief political consultant because of his (Atwater's) reputation as a "Fierce and ugly campaigner" (Life Magazine, February 1991).

In response, Atwater promised George Bush (in reference to Michael Dukakis): "I'll strip the bark off that little bastard and make Willie Horton his running mate."

Basking in the victory of Bush's election (according to the Life Magazine article), Atwater bragged "because of our successful manipulation of his campaign themes George Bush won handily" (emphasis added).

After the Bush victory (1988), Atwater underwent a deep torturing sense of guilt for the scurrilous use of race against Dukakis and the Democratic Party and as printed in Life, from his death bed,

Atwater issued a public apology to the late Ron Brown, then Chair of the Democratic National Committee. But, racial damage was already done.

ABS Evening News, January 18, 1996, said that George Bush's 1988 presidential campaign paid \$180,000 to produce the Willie Horton political ad for national television. This is in the line with George Bush's public statement in 1988: "I'll do anything to win." In short, get White votes by whatever means necessary!

In the packed arsenal of political code words of the politicians, the term "liberal" is the political equivalent of the crossbones, the universal symbol for deadly poison and this label is applied to proposed legislation without consideration for the merit of such legislation nor the qualifications of candidates or elected officials.